

10/535218

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 17 MAY 2005

Docket No.: S3-03P02105

CERTIFICATION

I, the below named translator, hereby declare that: my name and post office address are as stated below; that I am knowledgeable in the English and German languages, and that I believe that the attached text is a true and complete translation of the International Patent Application PCT/EP2004/000809, filed 29 January 2004.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Hollywood, Florida



Karola Franco

May 17, 2005

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A
P.O. 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480
Tel.: (954) 925-1100
Fax.: (954) 925-1101

This Page Blank (uspto)

S3-03P02105

4/ppts

Description

- 5 Control arrangement and method for testing the operation of a control arrangement of this type for occupant protection means in a motor vehicle

10 The invention relates to a control arrangement and a method for testing the operation of a control arrangement of this type for occupant protection means in a motor vehicle.

Occupant protection means such as airbags, belt tensioners, etc. represent important safety components in modern motor
15 vehicles. They are not legally required but almost every automobile currently produced somewhere in the world has at least one front airbag for the driver.

In addition to the front airbag many automobiles now also have
20 further different airbags, in particular side airbags, head airbags, knee airbags, etc. for the driver, front passenger or other occupants. Every one of these airbag systems uses a plurality of sensors, arranged at different points on the body of the vehicle, which identify the deceleration (negative
25 acceleration) that occurs in the event of a collision. The systems generally used with driver, front passenger and side airbags operate with acceleration sensors arranged in or adjacent to a control arrangement. The control arrangement is located at a central point in the motor vehicle, for example
30 under the driving seat or in the vehicle tunnel. It is therefore also frequently referred to as the central module. To identify a side collision, at least one acceleration sensor - or more progressively at least one pressure sensor - is

provided on both sides of the motor vehicle, frequently referred to as so-called satellites due to their non-central position. The specifications for the respective sensors are generally relatively exacting, as they are the first component
5 of an occupant protection system to receive crash information. They have to convert the rapid deceleration of the motor vehicle to a reliable and accurate electrical signal (a).

One of the most frequently used methods for measuring
10 acceleration is to measure the action of a force F , which results from the acceleration g acting on a seismic mass m . This force generates mechanical stresses and a change in the length of the seismic mass. The stresses can be determined using the piezo-resistive (or piezo-electric) characteristics
15 of the material used. Length changes are generally measured using a variable capacity. The piezo-resistive effect in semiconductors is utilized to a large degree in pressure sensors, while for acceleration sensors the capacitive measuring principle is preferred in a plurality of technical
20 applications. This design allows very small sensor structures and therefore economical solutions to be produced using surface micromanufacturing. Sensors of capacitive structure are also less susceptible to temperature fluctuations and offer a wide operating temperature range. Therefore in the
25 field of occupant protection systems both the acceleration sensors and the pressure sensors that are increasingly being used are based predominantly on this principle.

The actual sensor element or so-called g -cell in particular is
30 a mechanical structure made of solid-state materials. It comprises for example two fixed plates with a movable plate between, which represents the seismic mass. If the g -cell is exposed to acceleration, the central plate moves from its rest

position. When the central plate moves, its distance from one fixed plate increases to the same degree that its distance from the other fixed plate decreases. The change in distance is a measure of acceleration. The supports used to suspend the central plates act as springs. A fluid possibly compressed between the plates, for example a specific gas or even just air, cushions the movement. If this is not desirable it is known that a vacuum can be provided. A g-cell generally senses along a sensitivity axis. With an appropriate structure however one mass can be used for two axes, thereby reducing cost. Reference is then made to so-called x-y g-cells or x-y sensors. From an electrical point of view the plates of the g-cell form a linked capacitor pair. When the central plate moves along the sensitivity axis due to acceleration, the distance between the plates changes, as a result of which the capacity of each of the two capacitors also changes. The same also applies to g-cells with for example a plurality of finger-shaped, meshing elements.

The g-cells produced by micromanufacturing have very small dimensions. The seismic mass for example weighs only a few hundred picograms (1 picogram = 10^{-12} grams). When subject to an acceleration of 100 g, the movable plate or finger changes position by less than 400 nm (nanometers). A capacity change ΔC of less than 1 femtofarad (10^{-15} F) must be identified to achieve a measuring release of 1 g. To be able to measure such a small capacity, it is necessary to have a dedicated control circuit in the acceleration sensor to convert the capacity to an analyzable output signal (a).

30

The output signal (a) of the sensor is supplied to an evaluation unit, which comprises at least one but currently generally more than one microcontroller, which then execute(s)

a crash discrimination algorithm, to differentiate between an actual collision and the normal dynamic vehicle response and if necessary generates a release signal for the restraint means.

5

The release signal is at present frequently only generated as a function of a so-called switch signal, which can in the simplest instance originate from a mechanical acceleration switch. However in many acceleration sensor arrangements today one of the acceleration sensors themselves carries out this task. After executing the so-called saving (sic) algorithm, for which a dedicated microcontroller is now regularly provided in the evaluation unit, such so-called safing sensors are responsible for the releasing or preventing the release of the restraint means, if the acceleration sensor or the evaluation device, i.e. the algorithms executed in the microcontrollers, operate incorrectly and would therefore supply an incorrect release signal.

EP 1 149 004 -the disclosure of which should be deemed to be specifically included in full - discloses a method and a device for testing the operation of a control arrangement for occupant protection means in a motor vehicle, with which a weighted sum is created from the output signals of the acceleration sensors to test the plausibility of the signals, by multiplying at least the output signal of one acceleration sensor by a correction value. Such a test advantageously allows information to be obtained about the operational capacity of the acceleration sensors, their signal output, levels, etc. But information cannot be obtained about the extent to which the safing algorithm itself operates reliably, because this is not referred back to in test mode.

The object of the invention is to provide an improved method for testing the operation of a system of a plurality of acceleration sensors in a control arrangement for occupant protection means in a motor vehicle. It should in particular be possible to test the operational capacity of the safing algorithm as well as the sensors.

This object is achieved according to the invention by a circuit arrangement with the features according to claim 1 and by a method for testing its operation with the features according to claim 7.

The idea behind the present invention is not initially to multiply the output signal (a) of an acceleration sensor by a correction value (k_w) in respect of a weighted sum (Σ_g) but to use a weighting means to modify a test signal (t) such that an already weighted output signal (a_g) can be generated, so that the safing algorithm of an evaluation device can be referred back to directly in test mode, as a result of which said safing algorithm itself can advantageously be tested in respect of its operational capacity.

Advantageous embodiments and developments, which can be used individually or in combination with each other, are set out in the dependent claims.

Further advantages of the invention and its developments are described in more detail below with reference to exemplary embodiments and the drawing, in which:

Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the typical structure of an occupant protection system in a motor vehicle;

Figure 2 shows a schematic illustration of an acceleration sensor comprising a seismic mass, which can be displaced along a sensitivity axis;

Figure 3 shows a schematic illustration of an acceleration
5 sensor, which can be displaced along two sensitivity axes;

Figure 4 shows a schematic illustration of the acceleration sensor according to figure 2 actively connected to a weighting means;

10 Figure 5 shows a schematic illustration of an arrangement with an x-y acceleration sensor and a further acceleration sensor;

Figure 6 shows a schematic illustration of an alternative
15 sensor alignment in the arrangement according to figure 5; and

Figure 7 shows a schematic illustration of a star-shaped arrangement of three acceleration sensors.

20 The same elements and signals are shown with the same reference characters in all the figures.

Figure 1 shows the typical structure of an occupant protection system in a motor vehicle 1. A control arrangement 2 is
25 located at the most central point possible in the motor vehicle 1. It has an evaluation unit 3 for example in the form of at least one microcontroller. In the control arrangement 2 or adjacent to it is a sensor field 5, in which appropriate sensors 17, 18, 19, 20 are arranged to measure acceleration,
30 e.g. an acceleration g_x along a sensitivity axis in the x-direction or an acceleration g_y along a sensitivity axis in the

y-direction. The sensitivity axes u , v , w , x , y of the sensors 17, 18, 19, 20 span a plane, which after the control arrangement 2 has been integrated in a motor vehicle 1 is essentially parallel to a plane defined by the longitudinal axis of the vehicle A-A' and the transverse axis of the vehicle B-B'. Further sensors 6, in particular to identify a side collision, are arranged toward the sides of the vehicle 1 at a non-central point, for the preferably capacitive measurement of lateral acceleration, e.g. an acceleration g_r from the right or g_l from the left. The sensors 6 for lateral integration are typically acceleration sensors but are now increasingly pressure sensors. The respective output signals a of the sensors are scanned by the microcontrollers arranged in the evaluation unit 3, one of which then executes a crash discrimination algorithm, to differentiate between an actual collision and the normal dynamic vehicle response. One microcontroller in the evaluation unit 3, which is preferably independent of crash processing, uses a safing routine to carry out continuous and/or cyclical diagnosis of the system, to ensure that it is operating correctly and is available in the event of an accident. The sensors 17, 18, 19, 20 arranged in the central sensor field 5, like those arranged at the sides 6, have to be extremely reliable so that they do not send the microcontroller 3 any incorrect signals a , which could result in unwanted activation of the restraint means. The driver is therefore notified of any fault, e.g. by means of an airbag warning light on the dashboard (not shown) and the restraint function is blocked until the error has been eliminated. If the airbags have to be deployed in the event of a collision, the evaluation unit 3 activates a trigger current switch 4, so that current flows through the trigger circuits of the trigger for the driver's front airbag 7, the trigger for the passenger's front airbag 8, the trigger for the side

airbag 9, the trigger for the belt tensioner 10, etc., thereby activating the belt tensioners and activating the gas generation response within the inflation module.

5 Figure 2 shows the operating principle of a capacitive acceleration sensor 17, 18 or 19 comprising a sensor element 11 - hereafter also referred to as the g-cell 11 - in which a seismic mass is arranged in a fashion such that it can be displaced along a sensitivity axis w. The mode of operation is
10 based for example on micromechanical twin-plate capacitors, which are predominantly finger-shaped. A central plate 14 is attached to the movable, suspended seismic mass 12 between two outer rigid plates 13. During acceleration the mass 12 moves so that the capacity changes. The arrangement of a plurality
15 of finger-shaped, intermeshing elements one behind the other is also known. With an appropriate structure it is possible to use one mass 12 for two sensitivity axes (x, y), which advantageously reduces costs.

20 Figure 3 shows such a so-called capacitive x-y acceleration sensor comprising a sensor cell 11, in which a seismic mass 12 is arranged such that it can be displaced along two sensitivity axes x and y. As in the sensor according to figure 2, a dedicated control circuit 15 is arranged downstream from
25 the g-cell 11, to convert the capacity to an analyzable output signal (a).

In normal, i.e. crash, mode of the evaluation device 3 all the output signals a_u , a_v , a_w or a_w , a_x , a_y of the sensors 17, 18,
30 19 or 19, 20 are tested for plausibility using a safing algorithm by creating a weighted sum Σ_g from the output signals a_u , a_v , a_w or a_w , a_x , a_y . Parallel to this for example the output signals a_u , a_v , a_w or a_w , a_x , a_y are analyzed using a

crash discrimination algorithm, with any release of the restraint means only taking place once plausibility has been determined. According to the invention at least one of the output signals a_u , a_v , a_w or a_w , a_x , a_y is preferably compared
5 beforehand with a threshold value SW, so that the safing algorithm is only released if at least one of the output signals a_u , a_v , a_w or a_w , a_x , a_y exceeds the threshold value SW.

In order to be able to test the error-free operation of the control arrangement 2 when starting and/or during operation of
10 the motor vehicle 1, it is advantageous to be able to subject the acceleration sensors 17, 18, 19 or 19, 20 to a so-called automatic test. To this end, with the control arrangement 2 in test mode, the evaluation unit 3, for example of one
15 microcontroller, sends a test signal t to at least two acceleration sensors 17, 18, 19 or 19, 20, to generate output signals a_u , a_v , a_w or a_w , a_x , a_y , which serve to test the operation of the sensors 17, 18, 19 or 19, 20. In the case of a so-called physical test on the sensor(s) 17, 18, 19, 20 the
20 test signal t brings about displacement of the seismic mass 12 along the sensitivity axis u , v , w , x , y . The capacity change in the g-cell 11 is identified in a control circuit 15 of the sensor 17, 18, 19, 20 downstream from the g-cell 11 and this knowledge is converted to an output signal a that can be used
25 by the microcontrollers of the evaluation unit 3. Such a physical test advantageously allows information to be obtained both about the operational capacity of the g-cell 11 and about the operational capacity of the control circuit 15 of the acceleration sensor 17, 18, 19, 20.

30 It is also known that a test signal t is supplied only to the control circuit 15 of the acceleration sensor 17, 18, 19, 20, said test signal t also being used to generate or simulate an

usable output signal a. However no information can be obtained about the operational capacity of the g-cell with such a purely electronic test. Information about the electronic operational capacity of the control circuit 15 of the sensor 17, 18, 19, 20 alone may in many instances suffice however, in particular when other mechanisms are provided to detect a defective g-cell, for example measurement of movement or fluctuations in the offset voltage of the sensor, in so far as these are characteristic of a defective g-cell.

10

Figure 4 shows an acceleration sensor 17, 18, 19 or 20 according to the invention. This is modified compared with the acceleration sensor according to figure 2 to the extent that it is also actively connected to a weighting means 16. The weighting means 16 can be part of the sensor 17, 18, 19, 20 and/or part of the control arrangement 2, in particular part of the evaluation device 3. This advantageously allows a test signal t from the evaluation unit 3 to be modified such that in the case of a physical test a correspondingly weighted displacement of the seismic mass 12 itself takes place.

15

Weighting means in this instance are in particular so-called test fingers, etc. configured separately in the sensor. During an automatic test only the test fingers experience

20

displacement. Being arranged on the same seismic mass, their

25

displacement also brings about displacement of the fingers provided for crash sensing. A larger or smaller number of test fingers is displaced, depending on the degree of weighting required for an output signal. If then - by way of an

30

illustration - fourteen or even just seven test fingers are

displaced instead of the standard ten test fingers for

example, this causes a correspondingly larger or smaller

displacement of the fingers provided for crash sensing and a

correspondingly weighted output signal. An electronic test is

also possible, in that the weighting means 16 supplies an attenuated or amplified, i.e. weighted, test signal t_g to the control circuit 15. The weighting means 16 can therefore be voltage-reducing electronic components such as resistors or voltage-increasing electronic components such as an electronic charging pump, etc. Compared with unmodified acceleration sensors, such an acceleration sensor 17, 18, 19, 20 comprising a weighting means 16 allows a weighted output signal a_g to be output when the same automatic test signal t is received from all sides.

The present invention utilizes this consideration, in that it provides at least one sensor 19, which outputs a weighted output signal a_g . The generation of a plurality of weighted output signals a_{ug} , a_{vg} , a_{wg} , a_{xg} , a_{yg} is expedient depending on the arrangement of the acceleration sensors. Different arrangements, preferred according to the invention, are shown in figures 5 to 7.

Figure 5 shows the sensor field 5 of a control arrangement 2 comprising three acceleration sensors 17, 18, 19, each with a g-cell, which allow the sensing of an acceleration g along a sensitivity axis w , x , y , aligned differently in each instance. Sensor 17 serves to sense in x -direction, sensor 18 in y -direction. Sensor 19 is arranged at an angle to these. In crash mode the direction and strength of an acceleration g acting on the vehicle 1 can be detected in the downstream evaluation device 3 using only two of the three acceleration sensors 17, 18. The signal a_w of the third acceleration sensor 19 is hereby used to test one of the two calculated variables, the direction or strength of the acceleration acting on the vehicle 1. The third sensor 19 thereby assumes the function of a safing sensor and can in this manner prevent the triggering

of the restraint means 7, 8, 9, 10 at least indirectly, if the value supplied by it differs significantly from a value calculated from the signals a_x and a_y of the two other sensors.

5 In the example the sensors 17 and 18 are unmodified and commercially available, i.e. on receipt of a test signal t with the control arrangement 2 in test mode they generate an unweighted output signal a_x or a_y . Sensor 19 is an acceleration
10 sensor 19 structured according to the invention and actively connected to a weighting means 16. Its sensitivity axis w is arranged in the sensor field 5 at an angle to the sensitivity axis of the x-sensor or y-sensor 18 or 17, for example at 45° to the transverse vehicle axis B-B' corresponding to the x-axis. The weighting means 16 modifies the same test signal t
15 according to a presetting such that a specifically weighted output signal a_g is generated.

A first test specification for the sensor arrangement according to figure 5 provides for pairs of tests on the
20 sensors, such that an unweighted output signal of either the sensor 17 sensing in the x-direction or the sensor 18 sensing in the y-direction is considered together with the weighted output signal of the so-called safing sensor 19. In particular the seismic mass 12 of the sensor element 11 of the first
25 acceleration sensor 19 is displaced with weighted force in the opposite direction to its sensitivity axis w or a corresponding signal a_{wg} is generated electronically. The seismic mass 12 of the sensor element 11 of the second
30 acceleration sensor 17 or 18 is also displaced with unweighted force in the direction of its sensitivity axis x or y or a corresponding signal a_x or a_y is generated electronically. A reverse process is also possible, i.e. the generation of a weighted output signal a_x or a_y in the x-direction or y-

direction and an unweighted signal a_w for the w-direction. In the first-mentioned instance the weighting means 16 should preferably modify the test signal t such that the electrical output signal a_{wg} is output weighted by the, mathematically expressed, weighting factor k_w equal to $\frac{1}{2} * \sqrt{2}$ (corresponding to around 0.707). If the sensors are arranged at different angles to each other, the weighting factor should be adjusted as a function of the angle position.

10 According to a second test specification, all the output signals of the sensors 17, 18, 19 arranged in the sensor field 5 are considered together at the same time. In particular the seismic mass 12 of the sensor element 11 of the first acceleration sensor 19 is displaced with weighted force in the opposite direction to its sensitivity axis w or a corresponding signal a_{wg} is generated electronically. The seismic masses 12 of the sensor elements 11 of the second acceleration sensor 17, 18 are also displaced with unweighted force in the direction of their sensitivity axis x and y or a corresponding signal a_x and a_y is generated electronically. In this instance the weighting means 16 should preferably modify the test signal t such that the electrical output signal a_{wg} is output weighted by the, mathematically expressed, factor k_w equal to $\sqrt{2}$ (corresponding to around 1.41). Possible alternatives should be deemed to be included as well.

Figure 6 shows an alternative preferred sensor arrangement according to the invention. In contrast to the arrangement according to figure 5, instead of individual sensors 17 and 18 sensing in the x-direction and y-direction, a so-called x-y sensor 20 is provided. The sensitivity axis v of the safing sensor 19 is again arranged at an angle to these axes, in the present instance an angle of 45° to the longitudinal axis of

the vehicle A-A' corresponding to the y-axis. The operational capacity of the control circuit 2 can also be tested in this arrangement according to the two text (sic) specifications described above.

5

Finally figure 7 shows a further preferred sensor arrangement according to the invention. In contrast to the arrangement according to figure 5, of the at least three individual sensors 17, 18, 19 sensing along a sensitivity axis u, v or w, a second sensor 18 is also arranged at an angle to the longitudinal axis of the vehicle A-A' or the transverse axis of the vehicle B-B', so that the acceleration sensors 17, 18, 19 each have differently aligned sensitivity axes u, v, w. The sensors 17, 18, 19 are preferably star-shaped, each arranged with a 120° offset. The operation of this arrangement is tested according to a third test specification, according to which all the sensors 17, 18, 19 arranged in the sensor field (5) are considered together at the same time, as described for the second test specification, in this instance the weighting means 16 having to modify the test signal t in respect of the safing sensor 19 such that the electrical output signal is output weighted by the, mathematically expressed, factor k_w equal to 2.

20

Weighted and unweighted output signals from the acceleration sensors 17, 18, 19, 20 are processed in the microcontroller 3 using a safing algorithm, which again itself creates a weighted sum Σ_g . If processing produces a predefined value, for example approximately zero, this indicates that the safing algorithm itself is also operating reliably. If the predefined value is to be approximately zero, the factor k_w should preferably be selected according to the invention such that

30

the angle positions between the sensors ultimately find a balance.

5 The present invention therefore advantageously allows not only the operation of the g-cell 11 and/or the control circuit 15 of an acceleration sensor 17, 18, 19, 20 to be tested but also the operational capacity of a safing algorithm associated with the crash algorithm. The present invention is therefore particularly suitable for occupant protection systems in a
10 modern motor vehicle.

Claims

1. Control arrangement (2) for occupant protection means in a motor vehicle (1),
- 5 - with a sensor field (5) with at least two acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) being assigned to the control arrangement (2), said acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) having at least two sensor elements (g-cells 11), which allow acceleration sensing along three sensitivity axes (u, v,
- 10 w or w, x, y);
- with the sensitivity axes (u, v, w or w, x, y) of the sensor elements (11) of the acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) spanning a plane, which after the control arrangement (2) has been integrated in a motor vehicle (1) is essentially
- 15 parallel to a plane defined by a longitudinal axis of the vehicle (A-A') and a transverse axis of the vehicle (B-B');
- with at least one evaluation device (3) comprising
- for normal and crash mode
- a safing routine to test the plausibility of all output
- 20 signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) of the sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) by creating a weighted sum (Σ_g) from the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y); and
- a crash routine to evaluate the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y); and
- 25 - for test mode
- a test routine, which sends a test signal (t) to at least two acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) to generate output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) to test the operation of the sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20);
- 30 characterized in that
- at least one test signal (t) can be modified by means of a weighting means (16) by a predefinable weighting factor (k_w) such that at least one acceleration sensor (19) outputs a

weighted output signal (a_{wg}); and

- during the test routine the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_{wg} and a_{wg} , a_x , a_y) of the acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) arranged in the sensor field (5) can be processed according to the safing routine,

- with the weighted sum (Σ_g) of the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_{wg} and a_{wg} , a_x , a_y) producing a predefined value when the acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) are capable of operation; and

- with the possibility of determining error-free operation of the control arrangement (2), when the weighted sum (Σ_g) of the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_{wg} and a_{wg} , a_x , a_y) actually supplied during the test routine approximately produces the predefined value.

2. Control arrangement (2) according to claim 1, characterized by a sensor field (5) with three acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19) each comprising a sensor element (11) for one sensitivity direction (u , v , w or w , x , y) each.

3. Control arrangement according to claim 1, characterized by a sensor field (5) with a first acceleration sensor (19) with a sensor element (11) for a predefined sensitivity direction (w) and by a second acceleration sensor with two sensor elements (11) for two predefined sensitivity directions (x , y).

4. Control arrangement according to claim 1, characterized by a first acceleration sensor (19) with a sensor element (11) for a predefined sensitivity direction (w) and by a second acceleration sensor (20) with a sensor element (11) for two predefined sensitivity directions (x , y).

5. Control arrangement (2) according to one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the weighting means (16) is part of the evaluation device (3) and/or part of the acceleration sensor(s) (17, 18, 19, 20).

5

6. Control arrangement (2) according to one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the weighting means (16) comprises a plurality of so-called test fingers, a voltage-reducing component such as a resistor or a voltage-increasing component such as a charging pump or another suitable electronic and/or mechanical component.

7. Method for testing the operation of a control arrangement (2) for occupant protection means (7, 8, 9, 10) in a motor vehicle (1), in particular a control arrangement (2) according to one of the preceding claims,

15 - with a sensor field (5) with at least two acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) being assigned to the control arrangement (2), said acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) having at least two sensor elements (g-cells 11), which allow acceleration sensing along three sensitivity axes (u, v, w or w, x, y);

20 - with the sensitivity axes (u, v, w or w, x, y) of the sensor elements (11) of the acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) spanning a plane, which after the control arrangement (2) has been integrated in a motor vehicle (1) is essentially parallel to a plane defined by a longitudinal axis of the vehicle (A-A') and a transverse axis of the vehicle (B-B');

25 - with the control arrangement (2) having at least one evaluation device (3), which

- in normal and crash mode

- tests the plausibility of all output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) of the sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) by means

of a safing algorithm by creating a weighted sum (Σ_g) from the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) and

- evaluates the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) by means of a crash discrimination algorithm; and

5 - in test mode

- sends a test signal (t) to at least two acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) to generate output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) to test the operation of the sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20);

10 characterized in that

- at least one test signal (t) is subjected to a weighting (k_w) such that at least one acceleration sensor (19) outputs a weighted output signal (a_{wg}); and

- in test mode the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_{wg} and a_{wg} , a_x , a_y) of the acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) arranged in the sensor field (5) can be processed according to the safing algorithm,

15 - with the weighted sum (Σ_g) of the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_{wg} and a_{wg} , a_x , a_y) producing a predefined value when the acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) are capable of operation; and

20 - with the possibility of determining error-free operation of the control arrangement (2), when the weighted sum (Σ_g) of the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_{wg} and a_{wg} , a_x , a_y) actually supplied in test mode approximately produces the predefined value.

8. Method according to claim 7, characterized in that at least one of the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) is compared with a threshold value (SW), with the safing algorithm only being released when at least one of the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_w , a_x , a_y) exceeds the threshold value

(SW) .

9. Method according to claim 7 or 8, characterized in that the test signal (t) is supplied to the control circuit (15) of the sensor (17, 18, 19, 20) such that an output signal (a_u , a_v , a_w and a_x , a_y) is electronically generated or simulated.

10. Method according to claim 7 or 8, characterized in that the test signal (t) is supplied to the sensor element (g-cell 11) of the sensor (17, 18, 19, 20) such that the seismic mass (12) of the sensor element (11) is displaced in a predefined direction (u, v, w, x, y).

11. Method according to one of claims 7 to 10, characterized by the use of a so-called safing sensor (19) with a sensor element (11), the sensitivity axis (w) of which is arranged at an oblique angle to two sensitivity axes (x, y) that are perpendicular to each other, in particular at an angle of 45°, 135° or 225° to the mutually perpendicular sensitivity axes (x and y).

12. Method according to claim 11, characterized by the use of two sensors (17, 18) each comprising a sensor element (11) each with a sensitivity axis (x, y) perpendicular to the other.

13. Method according to claim 11, characterized by the use of a so-called x-y sensor comprising two sensor elements (11), each with a sensitivity axis (x, y) perpendicular to the other.

14. Method according to claim 11, characterized by the use of a so-called x-y sensor (20) comprising a sensor element (11)

with two sensitivity axes (x, y) perpendicular to each other.

15. Method according to claim 9 or 10 and one of claims 11 to 14, characterized in that the seismic masses (12) of two sensor elements (11) are displaced in a predefined direction or corresponding signals are generated or simulated electronically, in particular

- the seismic mass (12) of the sensor element (11) of a first acceleration sensor (19) is displaced with weighted force in the opposite direction to its sensitivity axis (w) or a corresponding signal (a_{wg}) is generated or simulated electronically, and

- the seismic mass (12) of the sensor element (11) of a second acceleration sensor (17, 18; 20) is displaced with unweighted force in the direction of its sensitivity axis (x or y) or a corresponding signal (a_x or a_y) is generated or simulated electronically;

- or vice versa.

16. Method according to claim 15, characterized by a weighting factor (k_w) of half the root of two ($\frac{1}{2} * \sqrt{2} \approx 0.707$).

17. Method according to claim 9 or 10 and one of claims 11 to 14, characterized in that the seismic masses (12) of three sensor elements (11) are displaced in a predefined direction or corresponding signals are generated or simulated electronically, in particular

- the seismic mass (12) of the sensor element (11) of a first acceleration sensor (19) is displaced with weighted force in the opposite direction to its sensitivity axis (w) or a corresponding signal (a_{wg}) is generated or simulated electronically;

- the seismic mass (12) of the sensor element (11) of a second

acceleration sensor (17, 18; 20) is displaced with unweighted force in the direction of its sensitivity axis (x or y; u) or a corresponding signal (a_x or a_y ; a_y) is generated or simulated electronically; and

- 5 - the seismic mass (12) of the second or a third sensor element (11) of the acceleration sensors (11, 12, 13, 14) is displaced with unweighted force in the direction of its sensitivity axis (y or x; v) or a corresponding signal (a_y or a_x ; a_v) is generated or simulated electronically;
- 10 - or vice versa.

18. Method according to claim 17, characterized by a weighting factor (k) of the root of two ($\sqrt{2} \approx 1.41$).

- 15 19. Method according to one of claims 7 to 10, characterized by a star-shaped arrangement of three sensors (17, 18, 19), each comprising a sensor element (11) with sensitivity axes (u, v, w) arranged at an angle to each other, in particular each with a sensitivity axis (u, v, w) at an angle of 120° to
- 20 each other.

20. Method according to claim 9 or 10 and 19, characterized in that the seismic masses (12) of three sensor elements (11) are displaced in a predefined direction or corresponding
- 25 signals are generated or simulated electronically, in particular according to the features of claim 17.

21. Method according to claim 20, characterized by a weighting factor of 2.

- 30 22. Method according to one of the preceding method claims, characterized in that the weighted sum (Σ_g) of the output signals (a_u , a_v , a_{wg} and a_{wg} , a_x , a_y) must be approximately zero,

in order to diagnose error-free operation of the control arrangement (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20) in test mode.

23. Method according to one of claims 10 to 22, characterized
5 by a capacitive test displacement of the seismic mass (12) of the acceleration sensors (17, 18, 19 or 19, 20).

Abstract of the Disclosure:

The invention relates to the idea of not initially multiplying the output signal (a) of an acceleration sensor (19) with
5 regard to a weighted sum (Σ_g) with a correction factor (k_w)
but to alter a test signal (t) by using a weighting means (16)
in such a manner that an already weighted output signal (a_g)
can be generated so that during a test operation, the safing
algorithm of an evaluating device can be directly fallen back
10 upon, whereby this can be advantageously tested with regard to
its ability to operate. The invention is particularly suited
for occupant protection systems of a modern motor vehicle.

Docket # S3-03P02105Applic. # PCT/EP2004/000809Applicant: STEFAN HERMANN ET AL.

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.

Post Office Box 2480

Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

Tel: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101